IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.16 OF 2006

Eruch J. Contractor ...Petitioner.

vs.

1.Manish Lapsiwala & others. ...Respondents.

Mr.C.S.Balsara i/b. Mulla & Mulla & C.B.& C., for Petitioner.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 28th July, 2006.

P.C.:-

1. The learned Counsel appearing for petitioner states that the respondents are served. Affidavit of service has been filed. This petition is principally directed against respondent no.1. Respondent no.1 is served. He is not appearing. He has not filed any reply. In the absence of any reply accepting the averments in the petition at face value, the petition is granted in terms of prayer clause (a) and also in terms of prayer clause (b) excluding bracketed portion. The petition is disposed of.